

212/220

Interview Summary

The Examiner and Applicants conducted a interview on September 29, 2004 (Examiner Danton DeMille, K. David Crockett, Esq., and Bob Katz and James Palazzolo of Revivant Corporation). Applicants demonstrated operation of the claim device, operation of earlier prototypes, and a device modified as suggested in previous office actions in this case. Possible amendments to the claims were discussed, including amendments to the claims to specify that the bladder is disposed over the sternum. No agreement was reached.

Remarks

Claims 1-21 remain pending in the application.

The claims are amended, as discussed in the interview, to make explicit the requirement that the bladder, or at least a portion of the bladder, be disposed over the sternum of the patient, between the belt and the chest. In the interview, Applicant demonstrated that modification of the prior art device as suggested in the prior office actions led to the perverse result of lifting the sternum. As demonstrated in the interview, the claimed device results in normal blood flow levels to the brain and the heart, and achieves extraordinary and unexpected results given the data available to date, reviving cardiac arrest victims long after they would be deemed unrevivable given the current state of the art.

212/220

Conclusion

This response has addressed all of the Examiner's grounds for rejection. The rejections based on prior art have been traversed. Reconsideration of the rejections and allowance of the claims is requested.

Date: November 4, 2004

By:



K. David Crockett, Esq.
Reg. No. 34311